

We put science to work.™

OPERATED BY SAVANNAH RIVER NUCLEAR SOLUTIONS

# Optimized Flowsheet for the Dissolution of Uranium Metal

Tracy Rudisill and Gene Daniel

2018 Mo-99 Topical Meeting September 26, 2018



- Single-use target was under development by the General Atomics, MURR, and Nordion cooperative agreement team for the production of Mo-99
- Plans were to irradiate a uranium dioxide (UO<sub>2</sub>) target at MURR and transfer to a hot cell for Mo-99 recovery
- UO<sub>2</sub> targets were to be fabricated by a private company using U metal enriched to 19.75% U-235
- U metal must be initially dissolved to produce a pure uranyl nitrate (UO<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) solution
- Dissolution rate of the metal using the current flowsheet was slow and was not acceptable for the production process
- SRNL was asked to develop an improved flowsheet with an optimized U metal dissolution rate



- Dissolution of U metal in nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) required to produce pure UO<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> solution
  - Addition of fluoride to catalyze the dissolution would introduce an impurity
- Ammonium diuranate (ADU) precipitation used to convert U solution to a solid phase



 $2\mathrm{UO}_{2}(\mathrm{NO}_{3})_{2} + 6\mathrm{NH}_{4}\mathrm{OH} \rightarrow (\mathrm{NH}_{4})_{2}\mathrm{U}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{7} + 4\mathrm{NH}_{4}\mathrm{NO}_{3} + 3\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}$ 

- ADU is initially converted to uranium trioxide (UO<sub>3</sub>) by heating in air at 500 °C
- $UO_2$  for target fabrication is prepared by heating  $UO_3$  powder in the presence of  $H_2$

Strategy to Improve U Metal Dissolution Rate

- Dissolution of U metal in HNO<sub>3</sub> is quite complex, with the acid reduction products varying from nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) to elemental nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>)
  - In general, dissolutions performed using 8 M HNO<sub>3</sub> or less generate nitric oxide (NO) as the principal gaseous product; those carried out at higher acidities produce NO<sub>2</sub> as the principal product

 $U + 4HNO_3 \rightarrow UO_2(NO_3)_2 + 2NO + 2H_2O \qquad U + 8HNO_3 \rightarrow UO_2(NO_3)_2 + 6NO_2 + 4H_2O$  $HNO_3 < 8 M \qquad HNO_3 > 8 M$ 

- Factors which influence the dissolution rate of large pieces of U metal
  - Factors inherent in the material being dissolved impurities, metallurgical treatment, grain size, shape, and surface area
  - Factors easily varied during dissolution acid concentration, temperature, circumstances influencing concentrations of reaction products
- Dissolution of U metal is autocatalytic increases in the concentration of reaction products increases the dissolution rate
  - Dissolution rate of U metal can be increased considerably by the addition of nitrite to the HNO<sub>3</sub>
  - NO<sub>2</sub> gas can be used to produce nitrous acid (HNO<sub>2</sub>) which decomposes to NO and HNO<sub>3</sub>

 $2NO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow HNO_3 + HNO_2$ 

 $3HNO_2 \rightarrow HNO_3 + 2NO + H_2O$ 

4

### **Experimental Overview**

- LEU metal used in dissolution experiments was produced by electrometallurgical processing at INL
  - Molten metal was vacuum cast into sample rods
  - Impurity concentration was less than 1000 ppm (99.9% U)
- Dissolution experiments were performed in laboratory glassware fabricated by SRNL Glass Shop
  - Approximate 3 g U samples dissolved in 120-130 mL of solution
  - Sample held by a glass basket supported by glass rod; compression fitting used to raise and lower the metal into solution
  - Solution temperature was controlled by an external thermocouple monitored by the hot plate/stirrer
  - Raman spectrometer available to measure non-condensable gases (H<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, Ar, NO, N<sub>2</sub>O and NO<sub>2</sub>)
- U metal was periodically removed from solution to measure mass and dimensions
  - Rate calculated from rate of change of mass to surface area ratio
- Experiments performed to evaluate the effect of HNO<sub>3</sub> concentration and temperature and catalytic effects of fluoride and nitric oxide (NO) gas on the dissolution rate



#### U Metal Dissolution Equipment



#### Dissolution Vessel with Nitric Oxide Sparge



### Effect of Nitric Acid Concentration on U Metal Dissolution Rate

- Dissolution experiments performed at the boiling point of 10, 8, 6, and 4 M HNO<sub>3</sub>; data at 7 M from previous work
  - Linear portion of curve used to calculate dissolution rate
  - Induction period observed, especially at lower acidities; due to oxide dissolution
  - Apparent rate often slows near complete dissolution of U; likely due to difficulty in accurately calculating surface area



J. R. Lacher, et al., Ind Eng Chem, 53, 282-284 (1961)



- U metal dissolution rate increases with increasing HNO<sub>3</sub> concentration
  - Data are consistent with published literature
  - Expect the rate to begin to decrease between 13-14 M due to highly oxidizing solution
- Optimum HNO<sub>3</sub> concentration depends upon desired cycle time and acid concentration required for downstream processing

### **Temperature Effects during U Metal Dissolution**

- Dissolution experiments were performed using 8 M HNO<sub>3</sub> at the boiling point (110 °C) of the solution and at 100 °C and 90 °C
  - Linear portion of curve used to calculate dissolution rate
  - Induction period was more pronounced at lower temperatures
- Dissolution rate increased with increasing temperature as expected; although, not an extremely strong function of temperature
  - Approximate 50% drop in rate when the temperature is reduced from boiling to 100 °C
  - Only 10% drop in rate with a reduction from 100 to 90 °C
- Unless there is a reason to use a temperature less than the boiling point of the solution (e.g., safety), performing the dissolution at the boiling point is recommended



## Catalytic Effect of Fluoride during U Metal Dissolution

- General Atomics requested that we evaluate the use of fluoride as a catalyst during U metal dissolution
  - One dissolution experiment was added in which three concentrations of fluoride (0.01, 0.05, and 0.1 M) were sequentially added to the solution
- Addition of fluoride to the dissolving solution adds an impurity which must be removed during the ADU precipitation process
  - Precipitation processes do not result in high decontamination factors without large cake wash volumes (generates more liquid waste)
  - Residual fluoride will cause downstream corrosion
- A significant increase in dissolution rate was not observed until the fluoride concentration was increased to 0.05 M
  - Complexation of the fluoride at low concentration by U reduces the catalytic activity
- Use of fluoride to catalyze U metal dissolution must be balanced against the potential for corrosion of downstream equipment and the addition of corrosion products to the U stream





#### Catalytic Effect of Nitric Oxide Gas During U Metal Dissolution

- Two dissolution experiments were performed in which nitric oxide (NO) gas was sparged into a solution containing 8 M HNO<sub>3</sub> at 100 °C
  - Dissolution rate of U metal significantly increases with the addition of nitrite to the HNO<sub>3</sub> solution
  - Since HNO<sub>2</sub> acid is in equilibrium with the gas reaction products (i.e., NO and NO<sub>2</sub>) produced during U metal dissolution, it follows that the reaction is autocatalytic
- NO flowrates of 35 and 50 cm<sup>3</sup>/min were tested; residence times of 3.4 and 2.4 min in 120 mL of solution following saturation
  - NO addition resulted in significant increases in U metal dissolution rate (> 300% increase) compared to dissolution experiment with no purge at same conditions
  - Dissolution rate at 35 cm<sup>3</sup>/min (25 mg/min·cm<sup>2</sup>) was greater than the rate at 50 cm<sup>3</sup>/min (8.2 mg/min·cm<sup>2</sup>) due to high gas velocity; mass transfer limitation for access of HNO<sub>3</sub> to metal surface
- Use of NO gas to catalyze U metal dissolution is a viable option and is recommend for applications where high purity UO<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> is required
  - Flowrate required should be selected based on dissolver size and volume; saturate the solution with NO
  - Purge should not impinge directly upon the U metal



J. R. Lacher, et al., Ind Eng Chem, 53, 282-284 (1961)





### Characterization of Offgas from LEU Dissolution Experiments

- Data shown are from the development of a dissolution flowsheet for LEU ingots from Experimental Breeder Reactor-II fuel
  - Flowsheet sequence includes dissolution of five charges of U-Al alloy research reactor fuels (targeting 1.6 M Al in an H-Canyon dissolver)
  - Dissolution of LEU ingots would follow research reactor fuels with more HNO<sub>3</sub> added to dissolver as necessary
    - LEU ingots loaded in dissolver using carbon steel cans
- Little offgas generation (including hydrogen) compared to dissolution of AI 1100 alloy (used to model the U-AI alloy fuel)







- Optimum HNO<sub>3</sub> concentration used for U metal dissolution depends upon desired cycle time and acid concentration required for downstream processing
- Unless there is a reason to use a temperature less than the boiling point of the solution (e.g., safety), performing the dissolution at the boiling point is recommended
- Use of fluoride to catalyze U metal dissolution must be balanced against the potential for corrosion of downstream equipment and the addition of corrosion products to the U stream
- Use of NO gas to catalyze U metal dissolution is a viable option and is recommend for applications where high purity UO<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> is required
- Generation of H<sub>2</sub> during U metal dissolution in not a processing concern

