



### **ORNL** <sup>99</sup>Mo Program

- In response to international supply chain challenges, the US Department of Energy (DOE) established a program to evaluate and support projects for the production of <sup>99</sup>Mo in the United States without the use of highly enriched U.
- ORNL has collaborated with a variety of companies to provide R&D solutions to overcome fabrication, production, licensing, and potential operational challenges.



 Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) support has included target material processing support, material performance evaluations, material capsule and prototypic target design, irradiation support, and core neutronic, thermal, and shielding design support.

### Acknowledgement

ORNL's <sup>99</sup>Mo program is supported by the DOE National Nuclear Security Administration's Material Management and Minimization Office.

For more information, please contact:

Jim Nash, nashjm1@ornl.gov

Jorge Navarro <u>navarroj@ornl.gov</u>

## **Oak Ridge National Laboratory** Molybdenum-99 Program

Jorge Navarro, Jim Nash, Chris Bryan, Thomas Muth, Holden Hyer, Sebastien Dryepondt, Lauren Garrison, Caleb Massey, Zane Wallen, Nolan Goth, Michael Smith, Noel Nelson, Cory Ball, Andrew Conant

### **Target Material Processing & Production**

- ORNL developed a die and powder system to support target disk production of Mo Development included press and sinter capability for enriched
- Мо
- ORNL also explored additive manufacturing (AM) production methods (e.g., support customizable/variable flow, improved target handling, and optimized <sup>99</sup>Mo production)



### **Material Performance Evaluation**

- ORNL irradiated material testing, including physical and mechanical property testing, corrosion testing, and SCC testing
- Reactor vessel material development was performed with SHINE







Figure 6. (Left) Tensile bar holder used for SS3 specimens. (Right) Selection of tools with manipulator fittings to be used in IMET



## **Target Design & Irradiation**

- and material capsule experiment design
- U were evaluated
- Isotope Reactor.



### Neutronics, Thermal, & Shielding Support

- facility shielding studies
- Method development: incorporating photonuclear production calculations into SCALE framework
- Augmented data human analysis methodologies are being developed to better target the optimum space, and an initial thermal hydraulic study of the experimental system is being performed



\*Irradiation design concept of the minifuel experiment-modified figure from C.M. Petrie, J. Nucl. Mater. 526 (2019)



 Ongoing work is investigating optimal core configurations for maximizing 99Mo production with Niowave, supporting Niowave





## Oak Ridge National Laboratory Design and Shielding Support for Accelerator **Driven Subcritical Assembly use for Mo-99 Production**

### **Design and Optimization**



### Stochastic Prediction-Based Exploration Surrogate Optimization (SPESO)

The ORNL team also developed a new optimization method. SPESO is a technique in which multiple cases are used to construct a surrogate model of the objective function.

The newly created surrogate model is then optimized. The algorithm is an advancement on prediction-based exploration surrogate optimization.

The advantages to SPESO over previous methods are

- The ability to take advantage of parallel computing,
- A probabilistic quantification of the quality of the results, and
- More expansive search of the parameter space



Jorge Navarro, Noel Nelson, Andrew Conant, Cory Ball, Blake Wilkerson, Michael Smith, Zane Karriem, and Jim Nash

### **Facility Shielding**





**Objective:** Develop a methodology that can capture the complex multiphysics environment of Accelerator Driven Subcritical System (ADSS) while still having an efficient shielding simulation. The shielding methodology for Mo-99 ADSS production facilities has three paths:

- PTRAC output capabilities

MCNP charged particle transport with PTRAC



ORNL's Mo-99 program is supported by the US Department of Energy National Nuclear Security Administration's Material Management & Minimization Office.

Jim Nash

1.	N. Nelson, M. Smi
	"Radiation Shieldi
	for Molybdenum-9
	doi:10.2172/1878
2.	N. Nelson, et al., "
	Accelerator Driver
3.	C. Ball, et al., "Intr
	Optimization (SPE
	submission.



1. The photonuclear source reduced-order model approach Decouples the bremsstrahlung accelerator target physics from the subcritical assembly's photon and neutron physics 2. A secondary particle source generator (SPSG) is being

developed with MCNP's PTRAC to help transport efficiency CADIS implementations for radiation transport (MAVRIC or ADVANTG) accelerate neutral particle transport

Charged particle physics need to be converted to neutral particle sources for acceleration

• SPSG creates a new neutral particle source using MCNP's

3. The ORNL ADSS team will partner with the SCALE team to add cross section-specific libraries as well as acceleration methodologies geared toward improving ADSS multiphysics

### SPSG Data converted to Tally PTRAC neutron and particle photon sources in information MCNP or SCALE

### Acknowledgments

For more information, please contact: Jorge Navarro nashjm1@ornl.gov

<u>navarroj@ornl.gov</u>

### References

ith, Z. Karriem, J. Navarro, C. Denbrock, R. Wahlen, and T. Grimm ling Analysis of Niowave's Uranium Target Assembly 2 (UTA-2) Facility 99 Production," Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 2022. 714.

"A Comparison of DAKOTA Algorithms for the Optimization of an n Subcritical Assembly," pending submission. roduction of the Stochastic Prediction-Based Exploration Surrogate ESO) and Application to NioWave UTA-2 Nuclear System," pending





### <sup>99</sup>Mo Production

- Fueled target irradiations address the chicken and egg paradox related to reactor licensing and fuel qualification.
- ORNL's High Flux Isotope Reactor provides the neutrons for initial studies to assist industrial partners looking to design and build their own isotope production capabilities.



- Pressurized, flux-trap type, light water cooled and moderated.
- HEU fuel ( $U_3O_8$  dispersed in aluminum) with involute plate geometry.
- Operates at a steady state 85 MW.
- Experiments in flux trap or beryllium reflector.
- 24 days/cycle, 6–7 cycles/year.







Value 48.9°C (120°F)  $3.347 \times 10^{6}$  Pa (485.5 psi)  $2.537 \times 10^{6}$  Pa (368 psi) 8.101 × 10<sup>5</sup> Pa (117.5 psi)  $85 \text{ MW}_{\text{th}}$ 2.1 x 10<sup>15</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup>/s 1.1 x 10<sup>15</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup>/s

# **Mo-99 Target Design and Irradiation Testing** in the High Flux Isotope Reactor

Nolan Goth, Jorge Navarro, Jim Nash, Eliott Fountain, and Chris Bryan

### **Plate-Type Targets**

- Some partners are considering a plate-type target design.
- ORNL has completed initial scaling studies, reduced order thermall-hydraulic design, MCNP heat generation and burn calculations, and steady and transient CFD simulations on this geometry.



- A set of bounding analyses were made to quantify the maximum plate enrichment and target configuration that could be sufficient cooled within a large vertical ex-core experimental facility.
- It was determined that an enrichment of 19.75% <sup>235</sup>U, the HALEU threshold, would generate 127 kW of power and could be cooled during normal operation and all abnormal events using less than 2.2 L/s of reactor coolant water.
- The primary initial thermal concern was cladding surface temperatures in excess of the fluid saturation temperature, but these were never reached due to narrow coolant channels with high local coolant velocities of 15 m/s.
- Aluminum oxide spallation of the cladding was the limiting factor, as the spallation limit would be reached before the completion of one HFIR irradiation cycle





### **Annular-Type Targets**

(e) Final plate design



cladding surface temperature of 135°C.



source term.





 Others are considering an annular-type target design. A HFIR irradiation position can support up to three of these annular targets within a single sleeve.



Thermal and flow fields for a three-target configuration producing 90 kW of power with a required 2.1 L/s of reactor coolant water to maintain a maximum wetted





Thermal and flow fields for a single-target configuration producing 30 kW of power with a required 0.7 L/s of reactor coolant water. A simpler experimental design for first-of-a-kind fuel forms can often yield increased safety margins and reduce the